# Orientations of graphs with uncountable chromatic number

Dániel T. Soukup

http://renyi.hu/~dsoukup/



Dániel Soukup (U of Calgary)

Orientations and chromatic number

San Diego 2016 1 / 13

## Goal: present results on chromatic number of directed uncountable graphs.

- first organized effort (undirected case): P. Erdős and A. Hajnal in the 1960s;
- significant contributions: **P. Komjáth**, S. Todorcevic, S. Shelah, C. Thomassen...

## Goal: present results on chromatic number of directed uncountable graphs.

- first organized effort (undirected case): P. Erdős and A. Hajnal in the 1960s;
- significant contributions: P. Komjáth, S. Todorcevic, S. Shelah, C. Thomassen...

Goal: present results on chromatic number of directed uncountable graphs.

- first organized effort (undirected case): P. Erdős and A. Hajnal in the 1960s;
- significant contributions: P. Komjáth, S. Todorcevic, S. Shelah, C. Thomassen...

The **chromatic number** of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.

How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.

How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

Is there a universal witness of large chromatic number?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

The chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by Chr(G), is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of G can be covered by  $\kappa$  many independent sets.



How does large chromatic number affect the subgraph structure?

- Tutte, 1954: There are △-free graphs of arbitrary large finite chromatic number.
- Erdős, 1959: There are graphs with arbitrary large girth and arbitrary large finite chromatic number.

- Tutte, 1954: There are △-free graphs of arbitrary large finite chromatic number.
- Erdős, 1959: There are graphs with arbitrary large girth and arbitrary large finite chromatic number.



Two giants of combinatorics share a passion: Erdős and William T. Tutte play "Go" at Tutte's home in Westmontrose, Ontario, 1985. Another favorite game of Erdős's was Ping-Pong.

- Tutte, 1954: There are △-free graphs of arbitrary large finite chromatic number.
- Erdős, 1959: There are graphs with arbitrary large girth and arbitrary large finite chromatic number.



Two giants of combinatorics share a passion: Erdős and William T. Tutte play "Go" at Tutte's home in Westmontrose, Ontario, 1985. Another favorite game of Erdős's was Ping-Pong.

What graphs must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Rado, 1959: There are
   Δ-free graphs with size and
   chromatic number κ for each
   infinite κ.
- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then  $K_{n,\omega_1}$ embeds into G for each  $n \in \omega$ .

In particular, **any even cycle embeds** into *G*.

What graphs must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

 Erdős-Rado, 1959: There are Δ-free graphs with size and chromatic number κ for each infinite κ.



• Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then  $K_{n,\omega_1}$ embeds into G for each  $n \in \omega$ .

In particular, any even cycle embeds into *G*.

What graphs must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Rado, 1959: There are Δ-free graphs with size and chromatic number κ for each infinite κ.
- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then  $K_{n,\omega_1}$ embeds into G for each  $n \in \omega$ .



In particular, **any even cycle embeds** into *G*.

What graphs must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Rado, 1959: There are Δ-free graphs with size and chromatic number κ for each infinite κ.
- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then  $K_{n,\omega_1}$ embeds into G for each  $n \in \omega$ .



In particular, any even cycle embeds into *G*.

What cycles must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: For any n ∈ N there is a graph G with Chr(G) = ω<sub>1</sub> such that G does not contain odd cycles of length < n.</li>
- Erdős et al, Thomassen 1983: If *Chr*(*G*) > ω then there is an *n* ∈ ω such that any odd cycle of length bigger than *n* embeds into *G*.

What cycles must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a graph G with  $Chr(G) = \omega_1$ such that G does not contain odd cycles of length < n.
- Erdős et al, Thomassen 1983: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that any odd cycle of length bigger than n embeds into G.



What cycles must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a graph G with  $Chr(G) = \omega_1$ such that G does not contain odd cycles of length < n.
- Erdős et al, Thomassen 1983: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that any odd cycle of length bigger than n embeds into G.



What cycles must occur as subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs?

- Erdős-Hajnal, 1966: For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a graph G with  $Chr(G) = \omega_1$ such that G does not contain odd cycles of length < n.
- Erdős et al, Thomassen 1983: If  $Chr(G) > \omega$  then there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that any odd cycle of length bigger than n embeds into G.



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.

No odd cycles of length  $\leq 2n - 1$ .

If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.

No odd cycles of length  $\leq 2n - 1$ .



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.

No odd cycles of length  $\leq 2n - 1$ .



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.

**No odd cycles** of length  $\leq 2n - 1$ .



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.



If  $\kappa$  is large enough then the chromatic number of  $Sh_n(\kappa)$  is large.

The dichromatic number of a digraph D, denoted by  $\overline{\chi}(D)$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of D can be covered by  $\kappa$  many **acyclic sets**.

What are the implications of large dichromatic number? How is  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  related to the chromatic number of the underlying graph?

If  $\vec{\chi}(D) \ge \kappa$  then the underlying directed graph must have chromatic number  $\ge \kappa$ .

The dichromatic number of a digraph D, denoted by  $\vec{\chi}(D)$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of D can be covered by  $\kappa$  many acyclic sets.

What are the implications of large dichromatic number? How is  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  related to the chromatic number of the underlying graph?

If  $\vec{\chi}(D) \ge \kappa$  then the underlying directed graph must have chromatic number  $\ge \kappa$ .

The dichromatic number of a digraph D, denoted by  $\vec{\chi}(D)$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of D can be covered by  $\kappa$  many acyclic sets.

What are the implications of large dichromatic number? How is  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  related to the chromatic number of the underlying graph?

If  $\vec{\chi}(D) \ge \kappa$  then the underlying directed graph must have chromatic number  $\ge \kappa$ .

The dichromatic number of a digraph D, denoted by  $\vec{\chi}(D)$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the vertices of D can be covered by  $\kappa$  many acyclic sets.

What are the implications of large dichromatic number? How is  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  related to the chromatic number of the underlying graph?

If  $\vec{\chi}(D) \geq \kappa$  then the underlying directed graph must have chromatic number  $\geq \kappa$ .

How about uncountable dichromatic number?

**[DS, 2016]** Let  $\lambda = \exp_n(\kappa)$  for some  $2 \le n < \omega$  and infinite  $\kappa$ . Then there is an orientation D of  $\operatorname{Sh}_n(\lambda)$  so that whenever  $G : [\lambda]^n \to \kappa$  then there is a monochromatic directed 4-cycle in D.

How about uncountable dichromatic number?

**[DS, 2016]** Let  $\lambda = \exp_n(\kappa)$  for some  $2 \le n < \omega$  and infinite  $\kappa$ . Then there is an orientation D of  $\operatorname{Sh}_n(\lambda)$  so that whenever  $G : [\lambda]^n \to \kappa$  then there is a monochromatic directed 4-cycle in D.

How about uncountable dichromatic number?

**[DS, 2016]** Let  $\lambda = \exp_n(\kappa)$  for some  $2 \le n < \omega$  and infinite  $\kappa$ . Then there is an orientation D of  $\operatorname{Sh}_n(\lambda)$  so that whenever  $G : [\lambda]^n \to \kappa$  then there is a monochromatic directed 4-cycle in D.

How about uncountable dichromatic number?

**[DS, 2016]** Let  $\lambda = \exp_n(\kappa)$  for some  $2 \le n < \omega$  and infinite  $\kappa$ . Then there is an orientation D of  $\operatorname{Sh}_n(\lambda)$  so that whenever  $G : [\lambda]^n \to \kappa$  then there is a monochromatic directed 4-cycle in D.

How about uncountable dichromatic number?

**[DS, 2016]** Let  $\lambda = \exp_n(\kappa)$  for some  $2 \le n < \omega$  and infinite  $\kappa$ . Then there is an orientation D of  $\operatorname{Sh}_n(\lambda)$  so that whenever  $G : [\lambda]^n \to \kappa$  then there is a monochromatic directed 4-cycle in D.

In particular, short odd cycles can be avoided while the dichromatic number is as large as we wish.

Recall:  $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$  if  $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each  $n \in \omega$  there is a digraph  $D = D_n$  on vertex set  $\omega_1$  so that

- D has no directed cycles of length  $\leq n$ , and
- $\bigcirc \ \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X] \text{ for every uncountable } X \subseteq \omega_1.$

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

#### Recall: $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$ if $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

## **[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each $n \in \omega$ there is a digraph $D = D_n$ on vertex set $\omega_1$ so that **O** has no directed cycles of length $\leq n$ , and **O** $\overrightarrow{C}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X]$ for every uncountable $X \subseteq \omega_1$ .

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

#### Recall: $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$ if $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each  $n \in \omega$  there is a digraph  $D = D_n$  on vertex set  $\omega_1$  so that **1** D has no directed cycles of length  $\leq n$ , and **2**  $\overrightarrow{C}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X]$  for every uncountable  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ .

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

#### Recall: $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$ if $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each  $n \in \omega$  there is a digraph  $D = D_n$  on vertex set  $\omega_1$  so that **(a)** D has **no directed cycles of length**  $\leq n$ , and **(a)**  $\overrightarrow{C}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X]$  for every uncountable  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ .

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

#### Recall: $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$ if $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each  $n \in \omega$  there is a digraph  $D = D_n$  on vertex set  $\omega_1$  so that **1** D has **no directed cycles of length**  $\leq n$ , and **2**  $\overrightarrow{C}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X]$  for every uncountable  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ .

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

10 / 13

Dániel Soukup (U of Calgary) Orientations and chromatic number San Diego 2016

#### Recall: $C_4 \hookrightarrow G$ if $\chi(G) > \omega$ .

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, for each  $n \in \omega$  there is a digraph  $D = D_n$  on vertex set  $\omega_1$  so that **1** D has **no directed cycles of length**  $\leq n$ , and **2**  $\overrightarrow{C}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow D[X]$  for every uncountable  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ .

Consistently, there are graphs with uncountable dichromatic number and arbitrarily large digirth. Compactess arguments give the [Bokal et al, 2004] result as a corollary.

Recall: large dichromatic # implies large chromatic # for the underlying graph.

**[Erdős, Neumann-Lara, 1979]** Is there a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\chi(G) \ge f(n)$  implies  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) \ge n$  for some orientation D of G?

Even the existence of f(3) is open.

Does  $\chi(G) > \omega$  imply that  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  for some orientation D of G?

Recall: large dichromatic # implies large chromatic # for the underlying graph.

**[Erdős, Neumann-Lara, 1979]** Is there a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\chi(G) \ge f(n)$  implies  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) \ge n$  for some orientation D of G?

Even the existence of f(3) is open.

Does  $\chi(G) > \omega$  imply that  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  for some orientation D of G?

Recall: large dichromatic # implies large chromatic # for the underlying graph.

**[Erdős, Neumann-Lara, 1979]** Is there a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\chi(G) \ge f(n)$  implies  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) \ge n$  for some orientation D of G?

Even the existence of f(3) is open.

Does  $\chi(G) > \omega$  imply that  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  for some orientation D of G?

Recall: large dichromatic # implies large chromatic # for the underlying graph.

**[Erdős, Neumann-Lara, 1979]** Is there a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\chi(G) \ge f(n)$  implies  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) \ge n$  for some orientation D of G?

Even the existence of f(3) is open.

Does  $\chi(G) > \omega$  imply that  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  for some orientation D of G?

**[DS, 2016]**  $\diamond^+$  implies that every graph *G* with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  has an orientation *D* so that  $\overrightarrow{C}_4 \hookrightarrow D[X]$  whenever  $\chi(G[X]) = \omega_1$ .

## • $\overrightarrow{C}_4$ can be substituted by any finite bipartite *H*.

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, there is a graph G with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  so that  $\overline{\chi}(D) \leq \omega$  for any orientation D of G.

**[DS, 2016]**  $\diamondsuit^+$  implies that every graph *G* with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  has an orientation *D* so that  $\overrightarrow{C}_4 \hookrightarrow D[X]$  whenever  $\chi(G[X]) = \omega_1$ .

### • $\overrightarrow{C}_4$ can be substituted by any finite bipartite *H*.

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, there is a graph G with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  so that  $\overline{\chi}(D) \leq \omega$  for any orientation D of G.

**[DS, 2016]**  $\diamondsuit^+$  implies that every graph *G* with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  has an orientation *D* so that  $\overrightarrow{C}_4 \hookrightarrow D[X]$  whenever  $\chi(G[X]) = \omega_1$ .

## • $\overrightarrow{C}_4$ can be substituted by any finite bipartite *H*.

**[DS, 2016] Consistently**, there is a graph G with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  so that  $\overline{\chi}(D) \leq \omega$  for any orientation D of G.

**[DS, 2016]**  $\diamond^+$  implies that every graph *G* with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  has an orientation *D* so that  $\overrightarrow{C}_4 \hookrightarrow D[X]$  whenever  $\chi(G[X]) = \omega_1$ .

### • $\overrightarrow{C}_4$ can be substituted by any finite bipartite *H*.

**[DS, 2016]** Consistently, there is a graph G with  $\chi(G) = |G| = \omega_1$  so that  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) \leq \omega$  for any orientation D of G.

## Does $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$ imply that cycles of all but finitely many length embed into D?

Does  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  imply that there is a strongly 2-connected subgraph of D?

## Does $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$ imply that cycles of all but finitely many length embed into D?

Does  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  imply that there is a strongly 2-connected subgraph of D?

## Does $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$ imply that cycles of all but finitely many length embed into *D*?

Does  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  imply that there is a strongly 2-connected subgraph of D?

## Does $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$ imply that cycles of all but finitely many length embed into *D*?

Does  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$  imply that there is a strongly 2-connected subgraph of *D*?

## Does $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) > \omega$ imply that cycles of all but finitely many length embed into *D*?

Does  $\vec{\chi}(D) > \omega$  imply that there is a strongly 2-connected subgraph of D?

Suppose that G has orientations  $D_{\xi}$  so that  $\sup \overrightarrow{\chi}(D_{\xi}) = \kappa$ . Is there a single orientation D with  $\overrightarrow{\chi}(D) = \kappa$ ?

Dániel Soukup (U of Calgary) Orientati